

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/724,647 | 12/01/2003 | Douglas Thai | PAT-1442-CIP2 | 2324 |
| 7590 04/20/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Raymond Sun | | | WILLIAMS, JAMILA O | |
| Law Offices of | | | | |
| 12420 Woodhall Way | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Tustin, CA 92782 | | | 3712 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Office Action Summers | 10/724,647 | THAI, DOUGLAS | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Jamila O Williams | 3712 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address riod for Reply | | | | | | |
| | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| | Status | | | | | | |
| | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>application filed 12/1/2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| | 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| | Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| | | miler. Note the attached Office 7 | Action of John PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3 | Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) La Notice of Informal Pate | ent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/2003. 6) Other: S. Palent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,831. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to delete elements from the patented case but not recited in the instant application for the purpose of making a simpler device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3712

3. Claims 1-4, 8,9 13, 14-15, 17,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by '346 to Sheng. Sheng discloses a bubble generating assembly comprising a housing (2), a dipping chamber associated with the housing (4), a source of bubble solution (5), a tube coupling the source of bubble solution with the dipping chamber (17), the tube having one end positioned inside the dipping chamber (fig 2), means for retaining the end of the tube in a fixed position inside the dipping chamber (see figure 2 the portion of the lower mouth 4 that retains the tube 17), where the source of bubble solution is a bottle (5), further including means for coupling the bottle to the dipping chamber (threads in container, see figure 2), wherein the dipping chamber is defined by a bottom plate and enclosing wall provided with an opening in the bottom plate (see figure 2, bottom plate of the lower mouth, side walls of the mouth and the opening in the bottom plate where the tube is inserted), further including a connector adjacent the bottom plate for releasably connecting the bottle to the dipping chamber (threads, see figure 2), where the bubble producing device is positioned directly above the bottle (fig 2), further including an air generator (portion of 7 located inside the housing) positioned inside the housing and the housing further including an opening and aligned with the air generator (16), applying pressure to the bottle to deliver the bubble into the dipping chamber (fig 1 and column 2, lines 34-37 of the specification).

Art Unit: 3712

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This is simply art of interest and was not used to reject any claims in this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamila O Williams whose telephone number is 703-305-3312. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JW

Jecob K. Ackin Pilmary Examinar